

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ·
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,577	12/08/2003	John F. Murray	1014-056	3047
26652 AT&T CORP.	7590 01/16/2007		EXAMINER	
ROOM 2A207			LE, DANH C	
ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2617	
*				
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/730,577	MURRAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANH C. LE	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the force of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the force of the drawing(s) is objected to be described to the drawing(s) is objected to be described to the drawing(s) is objected to be described to the drawing(s) is objected to the draw	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioric application for a list of the prioric action for a list of the prioric application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

SET I

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (US 6,377,782) in view of Schweickart (US 6,252,883).

As to claim 10, Bishop teaches a method of distributing broadband signals within a premises (figures 2-4 and their descriptions), comprising the steps of:

packetizing incoming broadband signals at a first frequency into RF frequency signals;

modulating the packetized RF frequency signals at a second frequency; and Bishop fails to teach providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to inpremises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises. Schweickart teaches providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to inpremises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises (figure 9 and col.5, lines 57-col.6, lines 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Schweickart into the system of Bishop in order to deliver data service directly to individuals through the mobile or portable devices.

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 11, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further comprising a step of:

transmitting the RF frequency signals on cabling used within the premises for cable television signal distribution (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 12, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10,

further comprising a step of using the signal radiation devices to radiate the RF frequency signals, the signal radiation devices comprising radiative antennas coupled to the cabling near receiving equipment (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 12, the combination of Bishop and Schweickart teaches further comprising a step of using the signal radiation devices to radiate the RF frequency signals, the signal radiation devices comprising a leaky cabl¢ of the in-premises cabling (figure 9 and col.5, lines 57-col.6, lines 8).

As to claim 14, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further including a step of:

supplying the broadband signals by external cable (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 15, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further including a step of:

supplying the broadband signals by fixed wireless (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 16, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10 (figures 5-8 and their descriptions), further including a step of:

Art Unit: 2617

supplying the broadband signals by DSL.

SET II

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (US 6,377,782) in view of Ahmed (US 6,519,773).

As to claim 10, Bishop teaches a method of distributing broadband signals within a premises (figures 2-4 and their descriptions), comprising the steps of:

packetizing incoming broadband signals at a first frequency into RF frequency signals;

modulating the packetized RF frequency signals at a second frequency; and Bishop fails to teach providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to in-premises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises. Ahmed teaches providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to in-premises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises (col.7, lines 12-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Ahmed into the system of Bishop in order to deliver data service directly to individuals through the mobile or portable devices.

Art Unit: 2617

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 18-27 are allowed as stated in the Applicant 's remarks on pages 16-37.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 7, 2007

DANH LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5